#### STATE OF MARYLAND

#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & MENTAL HYGIENE

OFFICE OF SYSTEMS, OPERATIONS & PHARMACY

MEDICAL CARE PROGRAMS

PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

for the

"MEDICAID ENTERPRISE RESTRUCTURING PROJECT (MERP)"

OPASS Number: 11-10366

Department of Health & Mental Hygiene
Auditorium
300 West Preston Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Wednesday, June 9, 2010

## ATTENDANCE:

## **DEPARTMENT:**

JOHN BOHNS -- MERP Contract Manager, Office of Systems, Operations & Pharmacy, Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

ZENA MORRIS -- MERP Procurement Officer, Office of Procurement & Support Services, Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

CHARLES LEHMAN -- MERP Project Sponsor, Executive Director, Office of Procurement & Support Services, Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

JANE RUTKOWSKI -- MERP Issuing Office Point of Contact, Office of Procurement & Support Services, Department of Health & Mental

BEVERLY SPENCE -- Director/MBE Liaison, Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

PAT O'BRIAN -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

CHARLOTTE KRUEGER -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

PATRICIA NOWCHOWSKI -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

BETTY MORGAN -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

MAH ASPLEN -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

PATRICIA FREY -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

D. ENGRELL -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

AUDREY P. STEWART -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

BRENDA ROSE -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

RUSSELL JENKINS -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

RICK WHEELER -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

STACY ORTEL -- Department of Health & Mental Hygiene

# PUBLIC ATTENDEES:

L. CHARLES LIGHTENING -- Vice President, R/O Resource Solutions, LLC

ANOH BROU -- Ascellon Corporation

PATRICK SIMON -- Chief Executive Scout, Blue Collar Objects

JOHN LALLY -- Symantec

RAM IYER -- ProData Team, LLC

JOSEPH DAVIS -- Director, Solutions & Service Manager, Molina Healthcare

CHRISTOPHER T. DEELSNYDER -- Executive Director, Health and Public Services, Accenture

JONATHAN P. ANDREWS -- Accenture

PATRICK M. RORK -- Accenture

CARRIE MCCONNELL -- Plexis

GERALD MASSEY -- S2Tech

GARY STEGMAN -- PSI

SAGRAN MOODLEY -- Molina Healthcare

BENNETT MCEWAN -- Molina Healthcare

BOBBY TOE -- Senior Business Development Manager, Supremesoft

CHARLES K. SUTTON -- Accenture

TERRY WESTROPP -- Accenture

STEVEN C. DORIS -- Senior Project Manager, Ingenix

WORTH GREEN -- S2Tech

MARET FREEMAN -- Manager, New Business Development, BDMP

RANDY HOFFMASTER, Quotient

TODD FIDLER -- Senior Account Executive, Genesys

KEITH REINOLD -- Regional Vice President, HMS Government Services

DENISE DESIEN -- President, BDTI

SHARON DOWNEY -- Director, Government Solutions, Maricom Systems

THOMAS HARDNETT -- Little Dimples II Corporation

SANDRA SMITH, PMP -- President, Project and Contract Manager, Vital Management Connections, Inc.

MARY JO MAFALE -- IBM

TOM STOCKDALE -- infocrossing Healthcare Services, Inc.

MILTON N. WEATHERHEAD, III -- NetApp

NEILL HOLLENSHEAD -- Director, Government, Thomson Reuters

GERRY K. ANDERSON -- Technology Sales Manager, Oracle

ROBERT DALLAS -- Alcatel-Lucent

GAURAV (SUNNY) NANDA -- Principal Solutions Engineer, Genesys/Alcatel-Lucent

WILLIAM BOWSER -- Procurement, SVC Consulting

RICHARD WALEGA -- Director of Consulting Services, Baltimore, Navigator Management Partners, LLC

BORIS HUGHES -- Principal, Xerox Global Services

CHRISTIE HARRIS -- CSC

ANNE WOLFE -- Accenture

NORA PRESH -- Group Z, Inc.

KAREN TREMBLAY -- Account Executive, Hewlett-Packard Company

CHRISTOPHER BRANDT -- Managing Partner, Audacious Inquiry

DAVID FINNEY -- Principal, Audacious Inquiry

DAVID GRISBURG -- Dynamel Solutions

BILL WILSON -- reThink Business

R.S. VENKATACHALAM -- CEO, Mansai Corporation

TONY CEGELSKI -- TC Enterprises

VINCE MASHER - CSC

CHARLES R. CEPHAS, II -- Business Development Manager, Symantec Corporation

AHAM MADUCHUKWU -- Project Manager, Ascellon

LISA WINCHESTER, PMP -- Business Development, Program Manager, SNAP, Inc.

ANTHIE ZAIRIS -- President, Group Z, Inc.

DWAYNE TOLIVER -- Business Promotion Consultants

BILL BYRD -- President, Business Promotion Consultants

MIKE VEGA -- FEI.com, Inc.

LEO HUSSEY -- Business Development Manager, Computer Aid, Inc.

APARNA IYER -- President, Mansai Corporation

MIKE EISMEIER -- DoIT

JESSIE REED -- Reed Consulting

HARRY HANS -- President, SONA Networks, LLC

INDER SINGH -- SNAP, Inc.

REBECCA BIANCO -- CNSI

KEVIN WALSH -- Vice President, Northeast Region Sales, ACS

MICHELE LIGHTENING -- President, R/O Resource Solutions, LLC

ANTOINETTE PEELE -- in 4 Structures

DAVID BLEVINS -- New Technologies

ADRIAN PRINGLE -- Pringle Consulting Services

MARIE DAUGHERTY -- Maryland Regional Manager, L-3 Communications

DAVID BEACH -- CSC

JERRY SHERMAN -- Program Manager, Elixir Technologies Corporation

VAMSI KETHINENI -- Program Manager, Supremesoft

SHAILES PATEL -- Self

PAUL DOMMEL -- Regional Executive, IBM

KRIS GOPAL -- Director, Angarai, International,
Inc.

CHRISONNA PALMER -- Business Operations Administrator, Ascellon

TOM FUSTING -- Vice President, Strategic Initiatives, GANTECH, Inc.

SEAN WILLIAMS -- President, CEO, Now Technologies, Inc.

ARVENDE SINGH -- CNSI

ROBERT BOWMAN -- Premier Account Manager, Cisco

MARION BISHOFF -- Operations Manager, Magothy Technology, LLC

CRAIG S. HARRISON -- Vice President, Softek International

MARIA PANOS -- Business Development Manager, Maricom Systems, Inc.

WAYNE P. MARR -- Capture Director, CSC

BARBARA A. HOFFMAN -- The Artemis Group

STEVE OLSON -- CSC

CHRISTOPHER SULLIVAN -- Account Manager, Teradata

NANCIE TASSARA -- GANTECH

MICHEAL HUGHES -- GANTECH

MARTY NELSON -- Vice President, Business Development, Maricom Systems

NIRAJ SINHA -- Softek International

TERRY MILLER -- CSC

MICHELLE T. FALETTI -- CNSI

DIP CHANDRA -- Senior Alliance Director, SAP Public Services, Inc.

JOHN MCCANDISH -- CSC

NANCY BORAH -- Vice President, Business Development, Optimetra

JEFF GOLDBERG -- Molina Healthcare

Recorded and transcribed by Sharon Woodward in association with Hunt Reporting Company of Glen Bernie, Maryland

## PROCEEDINGS

(Whereupon, the Pre-Proposal Conference for the Medicaid Enterprise Restructuring Project (MERP) RFP was commenced at 9:02 a.m.)

MR. BOHNS: Good morning. Can everybody hear me okay?

My name is John Bohns. I'm the Contract
Manager for this RFP. And hopefully, everybody's here
for the same thing we are. This is the Medicaid
Enterprise Restructuring Project, otherwise known as
the MERP RFP Pre-Proposal Conference.

I'm going to go through some opening remarks and then I'll turn things over to the Office of Procurement and Support Services. I'm going to do another overview -- a little bit of an overview and some important issues within the RFP that we can concentrate on. And then I'm going to Chuck Lehman -- Charles Lehman from Office of Systems and Operations. And then we'll go to vendors' questions at the end.

All right. Again, welcome. And, again, this is the Maryland Enterprise Restructuring Project, MERP,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1  | RFP Pre-Proposal Conference. There is a sign-in sheet |
|----|---|
| 2  | in the back outside the doors. If you haven't signed  |
| 3  | in now, you can sign in again and it will still be    |
| 4  | there at the end. But please include your name, the   |
| 5  | company name, telephone number, email address, and    |
| 6  | check that if you are small-business reserve or a     |
| 7  | Minority Business Enterprise, please.                 |
| 8  | Those lists of sign-in sheets will be                 |
| 9  | posted on the website for procurement and also if     |
| 10 | you left your business card, there's a envelope back  |
| 11 | there for the business cards.                         |
| 12 | One other thing, the bathrooms are outside            |
| 13 | the door to the right I mean, to your left and        |
|    |   |

around the corner.

I'd like to introduce the panel. Again, my name is John Bohns. I'm the Contract Manager for the RFP.

Charles Lehman is the MERP Project sponsor, and he is Executive Director of Office of Systems, Operations & Pharmacy under DHMH.

We also have Zena Morris. Zena is the -- is

representing the Procurement office -- the Office of Procurement and Support Services.

And if you look in the RFP, the issuing contact point of office is Jane Rutkowski. She is here somewhere. I think she was -- actually she was outside of the meeting. And then we have Sharon Gambrill. Those are your two contacts. Sharon is the contact for the Procurement Office. She is the Procurement Officer as far as contact for the RFP.

All right. So now I'd like to turn things over to Zena. Zena will go over some items from -- for the OPASS -- for Procurement -- from a procurement standpoint and also for the MBE points, which are very important for submitting your proposals.

MS. MORRIS: Good morning. I am the Contract Officer for the Maryland Enterprise Restructuring Project (MERP). And what I'd like to start off with is to ask the MBE's to please stand and identify yourselves. We'll start for the first MBE and your company. Thank you.

> Bill Byrd, Business Promotion ATTENDEE:

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia 410-766-HUNT (4868) 1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 1  | Consultan | its.       |                                    |
|----|-----------|------------|------------------------------------|
| 2  |           | MS. MORRIS | : Please speak loudly. Thank you.  |
| 3  |           | ATTENDEE:  | Dwayne Toliver, Business Promotion |
| 4  | Consultan | its.       |                                    |
| 5  |           | ATTENDEE:  | Christopher Brandt, Audacious      |
| 6  | Inquiry.  |            |                                    |
| 7  |           | ATTENDEE:  | Chrisonna Palmer, Ascellon         |
| 8  | Corporati | on.        |                                    |
| 9  |           | ATTENDEE:  | Michael Hughes, Gantech.           |
| 10 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Anthie Zairis, Group Z.            |
| 11 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Nora Presti, Group Z.              |
| 12 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Bobby Toe, Supremesoft.            |
| 13 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Aparna Iyer, Mansai Corporation.   |
| 14 |           | ATTENDEE:  | (Unintelligible)                   |
| 15 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Ram Ayer from ProData Team.        |
| 16 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Michele Lightening, R/O Resources. |
| 17 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Gerald Massey with S2 Tech.        |
| 18 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Harry Hans. I'm with SONA          |
| 19 | Networks. |            |                                    |
| 20 |           | ATTENDEE:  | Gary Stegman, Prime Systems        |
| 21 | Internati | onal.      |                                    |
| ;  |           |            |                                    |

| 1  | ATTENDEE: Sharon Downey, Maricom Systems.                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | ATTENDEE: Greg Harrison, Softek  |
| 3  | International.   |
| 4  | ATTENDEE: Thomas Hardnett, Little Dimples                                    |
| 5  | Technology.  |
| 6  | ATTENDEE: Inder Singh from Snap, Inc.  |
| 7  | ATTENDEE: Mike Vega, Fei.com.  |
| 8  | MS. MORRIS: Thank you.   |
| 9  | I want to emphasize the following points.                                    |
| 10 | The solicitation will be for 11 years beginning on or                        |
| 11 | about February the $1^{\rm st}$ , 2011, to January the $31^{\rm st}$ , 2022. |
| 12 | The transition of the system shall occur no later than                       |
| 13 | September the 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2013. The MMIS contract shall                |
| 14 | consist of a five-year base contract consisting of a                         |
| 15 | 30-month design, development, and implementation (DDI)                       |
| 16 | phase followed by 30 months of operations. The                               |
| 17 | contract shall allow for three two-year option periods                       |
| 18 | at the State's sole discretion. The contractor shall                         |
| 19 | not take over Fiscal Agent duties until the new system                       |
| 20 | in implemented.  |
| 21 | The Procurement Method used in this  |

solicitation is Competitive Sealed Proposal. Although this is a relatively uncomplicated process, I cannot stress too much the importance of following some new additional steps and requirements.

Be sure that you have completed and signed the Bid/Proposal Affidavit. If there is a question of who your Resident Agent is, please call the State's Corporate Charter Division at 410-767-1330. This information is contained within the solicitation. The office is located at 301 W. Preston Street.

Within 10 days of being notified of its recommendation for award, the bidder must complete and submit the Contract Affidavit set forth in Appendix G. Please note that the contract shall not become effective until the Contract Affidavit is signed and returned.

Please include your -- in your transmittal letter a letter regarding the appropriate Tier designation for the Living Wage Requirement Law. This is found in Appendix F.

Please correctly complete the Financial Page.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Pay special attention to the required items listed 1 2 under 2.3 Volume II, Financial Proposal, on Page 246. 3 You must be registered with eMaryland 4 Marketplace in order to receive this award. Also, 5 electronic funds transfers are available through the Comptroller's Office. 7 The most important matter is to get your proposals to us the date, time, and location as listed. 8 9 Your proposals are due no later than August 23rd, 2010, 10 at 2:00 p.m., local time. No proposals will be 11 accepted after this time. 12 Please address your proposal packets to Ms. 13 Sharon Gambrill, Procurement Officer, Department of

Health and Mental Hygiene, 201 West Preston Street, Room 416B, Baltimore, Maryland 21201.

The three acceptable means of delivering your proposals are the U.S. Postal Service, hand delivery by Offeror -- please ask for a receipt, hand delivery by Commercial Carrier -- also ask for a receipt.

Don't forget to properly complete the MBE MBE forms must be completed correctly. forms.

cannot cure the MBE documents if they are not properly completed. There is a 25 percent MBE goal on this solicitation. Form D-1 must be submitted in a separately sealed and labeled envelope at the time of submission. Instructions are found in Section 2.1.1. This is a three-part submission: Technical proposals, labeled; financial proposals, also labeled; and your MBE submission. This is a new solicitation with no incumbent.

MBE subcontracting participation instructions for the Request for Proposals, MBE Forms D-1 through D-6. Please pay attention, because these are relatively new forms. This procurement contains a 25 percent MBE participation goal. Offerors, including those Offerors that are certified MBE's shall: Identify specific work categories within the scope of the procurement appropriate for subcontracting; solicit certified MBE's in writing at least 10 days before proposals are due describing an identified work categories and providing instructions on how to bid on the subcontracts; attempt to make personal contact with certified MBE's solicited

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and to document these attempts; assist certified MBE's to fulfill or seek waiver of bonding requirements; and attend pre-proposal or other meetings and the procurement agent schedules to publicize contracting opportunities to certified MBE's.

MBE documents which must be submitted are: The Offeror shall submit one original and two copies of a completed Certified MBE Utilization and Fair Solicitation Affidavit -- this is Attachment D-1. It must be signed by the Offeror which shall become a part of the official contract. Offerors shall select one of the two boxes in the first question. This indication reflects anticipated compliance with the 25 percent goal or the inability to comply with the established goal. That is either a partial waiver or a full The Code of Maryland Regulation -- COMAR 21.11.03.11, governing the MBE waiver, is included on the MBE Attachment D-1 Form. In the first question, the second box is used for a request of a full or partial waiver.

Attachment D-1 shall include the following

additional information if the first box is checked:

The name of each person proposed MBE who will

participate in the project, the specific percentage of
the total contract price represented by each

subcontract, and a description of the services to be

performed or items to be furnished by the MBE. Use of

"TBD" or "to be determined" for any of these required

items is unacceptable.

Note: The percentage of the total contract price to be performed by each MBE must, when added together, at least equal to the percentage of the MBE participation indicated on D-1.

Any other documentation considered appropriate by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene to ascertain compliance with the contract's MBE participation goal.

Failure to include either MBE Attachment D-1 will render the entire proposal not reasonably susceptible of being selected for award and cause the Department to return the RFP without further consideration.

Within 10 days of being notified that it has been recommended for award of the contract, the Offeror shall submit one original and two copies of a completed Outreach Efforts Compliance Statement (MBE Attachment D-2), which should be signed by the Offeror and shall become part of the contract which shall include a listing of specific work categories identified by the Offeror in which there are subcontracting opportunities, copies of written solicitations used to solicit certified MBE for these subcontracting opportunities, a description of the Offeror's attempts to personally contact the solicited MBE's, a description of the Offeror's efforts to fulfill or seek waiver of bonding requirements if bonding is required.

Within 10 days of being notified that it has been recommended for award of a contract, the Offeror shall submit one original and two copies of a completed MBE Subcontractor Project Participation Statement (MBE Attachment D-3) for each MBE subcontractor identified in the MBE Attachment D-1. The identity of each MBE subcontractor for whom an Attachment D-1 is submitted

12.

must be the same as those included on the MBE

Participate Schedule (MBE Attachment D-3) unless any
changes in the MBE subcontractors have been submitted
to and approved by the Department. Each Attachment D-3
shall be signed by both the Offeror and the MBE listed,
to include a statement of the intent to enter into a
contract between the prime contractor and the
subcontractor if a contract is executed between the
Department of Health & Mental Hygiene and the prime
contractor, the amount and type of bonds required of
that MBE contractor, if any.

Whenever a modification is entered into or an option is executed in regard to this contract, the contractor will be required to provide a new MBE Participation Schedule (MBE Attachment D-3) for each MBE subcontractor affected, which shall be submitted to the Office of Procurement and Support Services with the modification or option submission package.

Any changes to the MBE participation schedule must be approved by the MBE liaison. No MBE subcontractor may be replaced, removed, or added

without prior approval by the MBE liaison. MBE

Attachment D-3 must be submitted for that MBE

subcontractor with the request for a modification.

MBE Participation Compliance, Attachment D-4.

To assure compliance with certified MBE subcontract requirements, the Department shall conduct periodic reviews and require periodic reports from both the prime contractor and the certified MBE subcontractors.

The reviews and reports shall include a Prime Contractor Unpaid MBE Invoice Report, MBE Attachment D-4, listing any unpaid invoices over 30 days old received from any certified MBE contractor, the amount of each invoice, and the reason payment has not been made; a Subcontractor Payment Report, MBE Attachment D-5, that identifies the prime contract and lists all payments received from the contractor in the preceding 30 days, as well as any outstanding invoices, and the amount of those invoices. A requirement that the MBE provide this report to the prime contractor monthly shall be included in the subcontract between the prime contractor and the subcontractor.

One copy of each report noted above is to be submitted monthly to the Department Contract Manager, as listed on Page iv of this solicitation, and the Office of Diversity and Inclusion, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, 201 West Preston Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201. The phone number is 410-767-6600 if you have any questions.

The Prime Contractor shall maintain such records as are necessary to confirm compliance with its MBE participation obligations. The records must indicate the identity of certified minority and non-minority subcontractors employed on the contract, the type of work performed by each, and the actual dollar value of the work performed.

The Offeror, by submitting a proposal, in the event of contract award shall consent as the prime contractor to provide such documents as it is reasonably requested and to provide right-of-entry at reasonable times for purposes of the State's representatives verifying compliance with the MBE participation obligations. The contractor must retain all records concerning MBE participation and make them

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

available for Department inspection for a period of three years and final completion of the contract.

MBE Participation Waiver. Before submitting his or her proposal, the Offeror is unable to achieve the contract goal for certified MBE participation, the offeror shall submit instead of or in conjunction with an MBE Subcontractor Project Participation Statement, a request, in writing, for a waiver with justification, to include the following: A detailed statement of the efforts made to select portions of the proposed work to be performed by the certified MBE's in order to increase the likelihood of achieving the stated goal; a detailed statement of the efforts made to contact and negotiate with certified MBE's, including the names, addresses, dates, and telephone numbers of the certified MBE's contacted, and a description of the information provided to the certified MBE's regarding plans, specifications, and anticipated time schedules for the portion of the work to be performed by the certified MBE's; as to each certified MBE that placed a subcontract quotation or offer that the apparent

successful Offeror considered not to be acceptable, a detailed statement of the reasons for this conclusion; and in the event no certified minority subcontractors are found to be available, a signed and notarized MBE Statement of Unavailability, MBE Attachment D-6, must be prepared by the Offeror, including the names, addressed, dates, and telephone numbers of the certified MBE's contacted and the reason each MBE is unavailable.

All questions related to certification as an MBE must be directed to the Maryland Department of Transportation, Office of Minority Business Enterprise/Equal Opportunity, P.O. Box 8755, BWI Airport, Baltimore, Maryland 21240. The phone number is 410-865-1269.

Please remember after this Pre-Proposal

Conference if vendors have questions and they would

like help with understanding any part or portion of the

RFP, please give me a call. My name is Zena Morris.

And I'm from the Office of Procurement and Support

Services. My direct phone number is 410-767-5794.

| 1   | Just keep in mind the answers to your                   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | questions, if they are significant in nature, will be   |
| 3   | shared with all who receive a copy of the specs;        |
| 4   | therefore, please allow sufficient time for this to     |
| 5   | occur. Questions and answers, as well as minutes form   |
| 6   | the Pre-Proposal will be posted on eMaryland            |
| 7   | Marketplace and on the DHMH website. The eMaryland      |
| 8   | Marketplace website is https://ebidmarketplace.com      |
| 9   | Please make sure to review Sections 2.2.2,              |
| 10  | Summary of Items to be Completed and Submitted with the |
| 11  | Technical Proposal, Section 2.3, Volume 11, Financial   |
| 12  | Proposal.   |
| 13  | I want to reiterate again the importance of             |
| 14  | filling out the MBE forms correctly. Please make sure   |
| 15  | you do not put any extraneous notes but to have         |
| 16  | accurate information.                                   |
| 17  | Thank you.  |
| 18  | MR. BOHNS: Thank you. As Zena mentioned,                |
| 19  | it's very important to make sure you fill out the MBE   |
| 20  | forms. Those MBE forms can be found in the RFP,         |
| 21  | itself, and also there is a separate attachments out on |
| - 1 |   |

1 the website.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

All right. So I'm going to go through just a few things about the RFP itself and some key points of interest on the RFP.

The Office of Systems, Operations & Pharmacy, a unit of the Department of Health & Mental Hygiene, has issued RFP No. DHMH-OPASS-11-10366, entitled, "Medicaid Enterprise Restructuring Project". And the solicitation can be found on the eMaryland Marketplace website or you can also go out to the OPASS website. And, as I said, on the website we have -- the key documents are listed out there is the MERP RFP itself; we have the Attachments 1 through 6, which are the MBE forms; we have Appendix A, which is the Financial Proposal Pricing Schedules in Excel format; we have Appendix N, which is the MMIS Requirement Response Template which is in Word format; and then you have the Addendums that we will be posting for changes to the And then we'll also be posting the questions and And I'll get to that in a minute, too. answers. Just please note that on the OPASS site,

Appendix A and Appendix N documents are in PDF format.

We need to reload those out in the correct format as

Excel and Word. Appendix N is out there, but we will

be putting in a revision to Appendix N with an

addendum. So we will be reloading a new document out

to the website. And we'll let you know when that will

be out there. In fact, it should be out there by next

week.

The two major objectives of this solicitation include: Provide a Fiscal Agent services for the processing and payment of all valid provider claims in the Title XIX Medicaid and Title XXI State Children's Health Insurance Program in Maryland, as well as State-only funded programs; also to design, develop, test, and implement a replacement Medicaid Management Information System with a go-live date of no later than September 1, 2013.

The current MMIS, implemented in 1995 as a transfer MMIS, is State operated and State maintained.

DHMH intends to select a qualified contractor to replace its legacy MMIS claims processing system with a

new MMIS system based on MITA 2.0 principles.

The transition to a fiscal agent addresses a need to improve customer service and the need for a flexible and current technological solution that allows the Medicaid program to meet the increasing demands placed on the program by providers, members, and Federal and State legislation.

The new MMIS will modernize existing system functions and significantly enhance goals of the Medicaid Program ensuring that eligible individuals receive the health care benefits to which they are entitled and that providers are reimbursed promptly and efficiently.

The new MMIS will process all Medicaid claims and eliminate the duplicative adjudication of Mental Hygiene (MHA) claims, Developmental Disabilities, Administration, and Dental claims. In addition, the new MMIS system will also support coordination of benefits, surveillance and utilization review, Federal management reporting, and case management.

As Zena mentioned, the MBE goal for this

contract is 25 percent. We encourage all qualified vendors who will be putting a bid in on this RFP and that you meet the minimum requirement of the RFP, as stated, outlined in the Key Information Summary Page, to reach out and partner with all the MBE's that are in this audience and that you'll see listed on the website as we post the sign-in sheets.

Some of the key dates. Some of the key dates can be found on the Key Information Summary Page in the RFP. And some of these dates are -- the RFP questions due to the State is July 6<sup>th</sup>, no later than 2:00 p.m. And I'll give you some more information as far as when we're going to answer your questions and post questions. We will issue answers to those questions no later than July 23<sup>rd</sup>, and we will have all questions answered by that time.

The due date for receipt of the proposal is Monday, August 23<sup>rd</sup>, no later than 2:00 p.m., as Zena mentioned. And the address for submission of the proposed is in the Key Information Summary Page.

Site visits and oral presentations, I'll get

to that in a minute.

Also the recommendation for award, we have tentatively set for November of 2010.

The questions and answers -- Section 4.1.2, "Questions and Inquiries" explains the criteria for submitting questions. Offerors must use email address MERPMMISProcurement@dhmh.state.md.us We will answer all questions through this email address. So all questions submitted to there will be handled and then we'll reissue an answer out through the eMaryland Marketplace and OPASS as questions and answers posted.

Oral questions today will be accepted and will be answered, if possible, but you also must submit your oral questions that you ask today through this email address for our official response.

All questions submitted by July 6<sup>th</sup>, as I said, due date will have the answer posted to the website no later than -- periodically through July 23<sup>rd</sup>. So as we get questions in, we'll look at the questions, and we'll try to answer on -- we're going to, hopefully, weekly, if we can't, bi-weekly -- every

two weeks, but we're hoping to get a weekly posting.

And our next addendum and posting of questions and

answers should be out by early next week.

We posted our first response to questions that we received initially on Monday, June  $7^{\rm th}$  -- so this past Monday, we made a posting, ended in Addendum One for changes to the RFP.

Like, I said, the due date for the RFP -- for submission is -- proposal submission is August 23<sup>rd</sup>.

That leaves the proposal out on the boards for a minimum of three months.

Site visits and oral presentations and -- the exact dates that we have are going to be tentative for right now until we get through the submission of the RFP. But once we have the RFP's in, then we'll look at whose of the offerors who are deemed susceptible to award, and then we'll schedule for those and it's looking now to be mid-October. So you'll be contacted.

The actual vendor site visit to DHMH, there is a provision that allows vendors to come in and do a site visit to DHMH. The potential prime contractors

may request a site visit to view our current operations and activities. Look at Appendix Q in the RFP, the outline is an outline of what you would be seeing.

Section 4.1.2, "Questions and Inquiries" on Page 254 -- the vendor must send notice to the Issuing Office by June 16<sup>th</sup>. So if you want to come in and do a site visit, you must notify us -- the Issuing Office point of contact, which is Jane Rutkowski, by next Wednesday, June 16<sup>th</sup>. Site visits will be scheduled and completed by July 23<sup>rd</sup>, at least one month prior to cutoff the due date.

All right. So some important items in the RFP, on this very first page we have a document that's Notice to Vendors and Contractors. The second page, at the top of the page, we want those to go to the Procurement Officer. I think the very first page they say submit to the Issuing Point of Contact, but we want that to go to the Procurement Officer. Like I said, the Issuing Office Point of Contact is Jane Rutkowski. The Procurement Office contact is Sharon Gambrill, as listed in the Key Information Summary information. And

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I am the Contract Manager for the RFP, as listed also.

There's a lot of important information in the Key Information Summary Page, but the most important is the minimum requirements. The Offeror must satisfy the minimum requirements to be susceptible for award. Now, the original requirements were revised per some questions that came in, and so we put that out in Addendum One, posted on Monday, June 7th. Hopefully, that addendum will -- should clarify the State's acceptance of the prime contractor and subcontractor's experiences to qualify for minimum requirements. intent is to allow a combination of the prime and subcontractors' experience to use to qualify you. And in the RFP, the Offeror is considered the prime contractor.

Procurement Library -- we will have an accessible -- web accessible procurement library available. We are looking at having it available tomorrow, but, again, you must submit a request to get access to that Procurement Library. And the contacting issue Point of Office -- Jane Rutkowski is your contact

for that. So just send her an email or call her, and she will get you on the list to get you the web access.

We also have an on-site library, as well. So if you need access to that, then you need to schedule an appointment to come in and a time-frame to come in. And that can be done through Jane, also.

The web-access procurement library includes the MMIS contract list; the Appendix M, which is the retention records; and Maryland MMIS AS-IS Processes; the MITA Self Assessment; and more.

Requirements -- RFP Section 1.4.3, which is "General Business and Technology Requirements", are mirrored in Appendix N, the MMIS Requirements Response Template, that is an attachment to the RFP. Appendix N instructions are outlined in Sections 2.2.1.3, "Response to System Requirements", and on the Appendix N cover page. Appendix N must be completed by the vendor and submitted on Microsoft Word document as a separate document with the Technical Proposal. And as I said, we'll be putting out a revised Appendix N, hopefully, next week. And we will inform you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 Pricing Schedules -- The Appendix A Excel 2 document is the same as the Appendix A imbedded in the 3 Appendix A Excel document must be completed by 4 the vendor and submitted with the Financial Proposal. The ICD-10 -- there have 5 Some additional notes. 6 been some questions as far as, you know, what we mean 7 by "ICD-10". And our response is the MMIS implemented as a result of this contract must be a fully functional 9 ICD-10 solution. The MMIS must be able to process

The Pharmacy Point of Sale system under this will be under a separate contract and will not be part of this contract.

claims that contain either ICD-9 or ICD-10 codes.

As with the Decision Support System implementation, the DSS is not part of this solicitation. We expect to release a DSS RFP next year sometime in 2011.

All right. So at this time, I'm going to turn this over to Chuck Lehman, the project sponsor, for some additional words.

> Thanks, John. My name is Chuck MR. LEHMAN:

Lehman. I'm the Executive Director of the Operations

Systems & Pharmacy program here. Just going to be real

brief so we can get to the reason you're here which is

to ask your questions.

The couple of goals we have, the first one was to put together an RFP that would result in a competitive procurement, and I appreciate the attendance here today. And I think that's the first sign that we've met that goal.

The second, and really important goal for us, is to transition the Medicaid operations in the State of Maryland to make us more consistent with what would be representative of a health plan. And we're a large health plan. Right now we have 850,000 clients. With health care reform, by the time this system is up and running, we'll likely have well over a million clients in the State of Maryland. And we need to be able to operate at the same service level as any other health plan of that size. And what we're looking for is the expertise in this room to allow us to operate at those service levels.

And, really, this contract is a performance-based contract. So we really want you to look at those service levels, give us your feedback, and we intend to be able to operate as any other proficient health plan in the State of Maryland.

And with that, I'll turn it over to your questions.

MR. BOHNS: I just want to say one thing, with your questions -- whoever has questions, there's microphones, I believe, on both sides. So if you'd please step out to the side to ask your questions, we'll be glad to answer any questions.

MS. MORRIS: And please speak slowly so that we can get the question accurately. Thank you.

ATTENDEE: Good morning. My name's Bill
Bowser. I'm with Procurement Services Consulting. I'm
actually going to put two hats on here. One is a
retired State procurement official, and, two, as a
company that helps other companies prepare proposals.

You've indicated here this morning that there's a deadline date for questions of July  $6^{\text{th}}$ . I

can understand your need for that; however, if you're not going to answer questions until potentially July  $23^{rd}$ , I have historically seen additional questions come out of the answers to the questions that you provide.

Are you going to amend that requirement so that there is the possibility that follow-up questions can be made?

MR. BOHNS: Well, we'll respond to all questions by July 23<sup>rd</sup>, but we will be responding periodically, which means that -- we have already responded to one set of questions, which I think was only six questions. So we wanted to get those out quickly when the proposal went out. And then we also have an amendment to go out with that.

So we also have been working on the next set of questions. And we've got a good number of questions that -- very good questions, and I think it will answer a lot of questions from a lot of different vendors.

And we want to get those out as quickly as possible.

So our goal is to get them out as they come

answers.

in. I'm hoping each week we can post to the questions. I can't promise it will be each week. It depends on how many are coming in. But I can promise next week -- by next week we will have another set of questions going out that will be answered.

Are you going to consider

ATTENDEE:

changing that deadline date for questions of July 23rd?

MR. BOHNS: Right now we have not taken that into consideration. We'll see how it comes in as far as how many questions we get to the very end, but I hope that, you know, the initial set of questions that are coming in now, unless we get bombarded with many questions at the very last date. I'm hoping to get

your questions out, because you're going to need time

to prepare your proposals with those questions and the

ATTENDEE: (Unintelligible). Good morning. For anyone possible change. I think the question of response for questions July  $23^{\rm rd}$  and actual answering the questions as and when they come back. Can you extend the question deadline to maybe two weeks prior

to the response deadline?

MR. BOHNS: If I understand you correctly, I think it's the same question. You're asking about the same — right now we haven't considered changing. I mean, I think it's too early in the process to decide that right now. But I'm hoping that everyone has really taken a chance to read the proposal. It's — I know it's a very long proposal. You know, I've been reading it for the last six months. But I know you guys have just gotten it May 20th, I would suggest in getting your questions in as soon as possible, and we will get them out as soon as possible.

MR. LEHMAN: We tried to leave the RFP out on the street for a pretty long time to give you all time to get your questions in and to provide a reasonable cut off point so that if there's an important question that impacts your final proposal, you have time to put that in stone and to be able to proceed with your final offer -- your final response.

ATTENDEE: My name is Thomas Hardnett with Little Dimples Technology. My question as an MBE is

| how so | on will you be able to publish the sign-in sheet. |
|--------|---|
| It's v | ery difficult for us as MBE's to market our       |
| servic | es to all the people in this room. So it would    |
| very b | eneficial to us to get the published list as soon |
| as pos | sible.  |
|        |   |

MS. MORRIS: I plan to publish as soon as I leave here and get back to my office. So the latest is tomorrow morning.

ATTENDEE: Thank you.

ATTENDEE: If nobody's going to ask any questions, I got one general one. In Section — this is Bill Bowser of Procurement Services. Section

1.4.2.1 in Paragraph 3, it's what the State's going to do. It says, "The State will establish written acceptance criteria for all deliverables." Yet in Section 1.4.2.1.2, "Contractor's Responsibilities", it cites that it's going to set up some mutually acceptable acceptance process. That sounds like a little bit of a conflict.

Is the State going to set up the acceptance criteria or is it going to be some mutual arrangement

| 1  | with contractor setting it up to start with?            |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. BOHNS: I have to look at that type of               |
| 3  | question if you could please submit to the email        |
| 4  | address, because I need to look at those sections and   |
| 5  | see which one why it's stated differently, if it is,    |
| 6  | in fact.  |
| 7  | MR. LEHMAN: I think our intent the State                |
| 8  | is responsible for determining acceptance criteria.     |
| 9  | But we would, you know, look at the vendor's response   |
| 0  | to that if you know, if they think that something is    |
| 1  | unreasonable based on the scope of work that they had,  |
| 2  | there would be some opportunity                         |
| 3  | ATTENDEE: So there will be, then, in some               |
| 4  | mode or method allowing the contractor                  |
| 5  | MR. LEHMAN: To come to agreement                        |
| 6  | ATTENDEE: and the State to work it out,                 |
| 7  | even though the State is responsible for setting up the |
| 8  | criteria. And when you can set that punch list up,      |
| 9  | then you're going to give the contractor the            |
| 20 | opportunity to go look at that punch list and say,      |

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

"Wait a minute, we didn't do this, there was a change

order," et cetera?

MR. LEHMAN: That's -- I think that's the intent of what we thought we were doing. We'll look at your question and give you a definitive answer, but we recognize that there's some potential for analysis.

ATTENDEE: All right. Thank you, sir.

ATTENDEE: My name is Jeff Goldberg. I'm with Molina Medicaid Solutions. I wonder if you could discuss a bit more your thinking behind the early takeover scenarios. You certainly have clearly defined the triggers related to what you referred to as the "force scenario". But it's not as clear, at least to us, what your thinking is behind the "systems only" and the "operations only". So I'm wondering if you can discuss that a bit.

MR. LEHMAN: Well, the forced takeover, as you observed, is really triggered by what our progress is in relationship to ICD-10. We felt we needed to have something in there to insure that if the project wasn't progressing as we had intended in the schedule, that we have to remediate the current system.

As far as the early takeover, I think what we recognize is that each of you, as professionals in design, development, and implementation and operations of an MMIS have somewhat of your own methodology for how you would stage an implementation. And you might choose to try to bring up one piece of the system prior to another. And in that context, you would maybe want to take over the current operations for that functional area.

Secondly, is, quite frankly, it's almost three years from where we are now to the time we'll have a new system, and my belief is that we can improve the service levels of this operation with the system that we have if we have the right resources. And we're looking to you to propose that option that we could look to see how we can really improve the service levels of this organization even with the system that we have.

ATTENDEE: Thank you.

ATTENDEE: Tom Stockdale with infocrossing.

You said earlier in your comments that the current

| 1  | system you have was installed in 1995. Can you speak   |
|----|--|
| 2  | to where that system came from and who installed it.   |
| 3  | MR. BOHNS: Well, I wasn't here at the time,            |
| 4  | but from what I understand is it was a (unintellgible) |
| 5  | system   |
| 6  | ATTENDEE: A takeover. Yeah.                            |
| 7  | MR. BOHNS: and it was a takeover.                      |
| 8  | Well, if there are no other questions                  |
| 9  | ATTENDEE: I don't want to preoccupy the                |
| 10 | time, but it doesn't seem like anybody else wants to   |
| 11 | ask questions. So it must be a very clear              |
| 12 | MR. LEHMAN: Well, we have a lot of questions           |
| 13 | submitted right now that people are waiting for        |
| 14 | responses on.  |
| 15 | ATTENDEE: It's all this wonderful stuff.               |
| 16 | Anyway, let me just start this off. Section 1.4.5      |
| 17 | requires the contractor to establish local facilities  |
| 18 | for the contractor to (unintelligible) the DHMH        |
| 19 | employees. This also includes meeting facilities.      |
| 20 | So the question is are all the meetings going          |
| 21 | to be held at this facility or are there going to be   |

1 meetings held at other potential places? And if there are other places, how frequent are those meeting to be 3 given at the --4 MR. BOHNS: Well, we're requiring that the contractor to supply space for those meetings. 5 6 or not we have meetings at other places is, you know, 7 will be determined. But they have to supply the 8 meeting rooms and sufficient enough space to give an 9 idea of what they need to look for as far as looking 10 for that space. 11 ATTENDEE: Given -- I'm sorry. What was that 12 last part? 13 MR. BOHNS: As far as when they look for 14 space in the area to -- for this facility -- the 15 operations facility. 16 ATTENDEE: Okay. Do you have any idea as far 17 as the number of meetings that you expect to have? Is it going to be weekly, monthly, daily? 18 19 MR. BOHNS: There will be -- I mean, during 20 the design, development, and implementation phase, I

HUNT REPORTING COMPANY
Court Reporting and Litigation Support
Serving Maryland, Washington, and Virginia
410-766-HUNT (4868)
1-800-950-DEPO (3376)

would expect to be meeting quite frequently, I would

say at least weekly with the project management office and the implementation managers. And then on the basis of, you know, ongoing design and development, there should be meetings also, you know, held here, but we want to make sure that they have enough space that — the meeting, if it needs to be, it can be held in a different location, as well.

ATTENDEE: Neil Hollenshead with Thomson
Reuters. You indicated that the decision support
system will be bid next year, in 2011. And in the RFP,
that the Data Warehouse Decision Support, fraud
detection, (unintelligible) functionality would be
deferred. However, you have MARS in effect with
program management and Federal reporting included in
this RFP.

Where exactly is the line drawn from your perspective, because there's a lot of information reporting and analytic requirements associated with the program management reporting and Federal reporting, in particular?

MR. BOHNS: I think we made a decision based

| 1  | on the fact that these are claims management reports    |
|----|---|
| 2  | and claims based. So we thought they'd fit right there  |
| 3  | rather than have them in the decision support system.   |
| 4  | That was just part of the decision on our part.         |
| 5  | MR. LEHMAN: I think we have a question that             |
| 6  | we received that we'll respond to to get, maybe, more   |
| 7  | detail. And our objective was, particularly with CMS    |
| 8  | reports, that that's a direct result of the work that   |
| 9  | the Fiscal Agent is performing, and those reports ought |
| 10 | to come from them, we felt.                             |
| 11 | MR. BOHNS: If there's no more questions                 |
| 12 | remember if you have not signed in yet, please sign in  |
| 13 | in the back of the room. Jane will still be out there.  |
| 14 | I thank everyone for your interest in the               |
| 15 | RFP. If you have any questions (unintelligible).        |
| 16 | Thank you very much.                                    |
| 17 | (Whereupon, at 9:51 a.m., the Pre-                      |
| 18 | Conference Meeting for the above-referenced matter was  |
| 19 | concluded.)   |
| 20 |   |
|    |   |